Serial No.: 10/751,129 Group Art Unit: 2443 Examiner: Kyung H. Shin

REMARKS

Claims 2-19 are now pending in this application, with claim 2 being an independent claim. Claim 2 has been amended.

In The Claims

In the present official action, independent 2 was rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crinion et al. (US Patent No. 6,181,699) in view of Rimmer (US Patent No. 6,681,262) and further in view of Denney et al. (US PGPUB No. 20030061623) and further in view of Hussain et al. (US Patent No. 7,161904).

Regarding independent claim 2, Applicants have amended independent 2 and respectfully assert that this claim as now presented overcomes the cited references and that neither Crinion, Rimmer, Denney nor Hussain, whether taken alone or in any reasonable combination teach, disclose or render obvious the present invention as now claimed. In particular, claim 2 has been amended to include the limitation of "wherein the MAC postprocessor includes a traffic shaper adapted to perform bandwidth-based flow control for the egress traffic received by at least one of said MACs, wherein the traffic shaper regulates output bandwidth of the MAC postprocessor using a token bucket algorithm in conjunction with one or more buckets each associated with a respective one of a plurality of flow classes, and wherein tokens allotted to each bucket and tracked using a first counter represent a capacity for each one of said flow classes" (support for such amendment is found at paragraphs [0023] and [0053] of the as-published draft of the present patent application). As this limitation is not is not disclosed in Crinion, Rimmer, Denney or Hussain, Applicants respectfully assert that claim 2 is patentable over the cited references.

Regarding the rejections of claims 3-19, as these claims depend either directly or indirectly from independent claim 2, and therefore incorporate all the limitations therein, for the reasons set forth above with respect to independent claim 2, Applicants respectfully assert that these claims are also patentable over the cited references.

Serial No.: 10/751,129 Group Art Unit: 2443 Examiner: Kyung H. Shin

CONCLUSION

For the above reasons, the foregoing amendment places the Application in condition for allowance. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of the claims be withdrawn and full allowance granted. Should the Examiner have any further comments or suggestions, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Bv:

Raymond M. Galasso, Reg. No. 37,832

Correspondence Address:

Alcatel Lucent c/o Galasso & Associates, LP P.O. Box 26503 Austin, Texas 78755-0503 (512) 306-8533 telephone (512) 306-8559 facsimile